

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

FIFTH DAY.

Monday, 26th March 1962.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at One of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA, B.A., B.L.) in the Chair.

Election of Deputy Speaker

Motion to elect

MR. SPEAKER.—Sri K. S. Suryanarayana Rao to move the motion.

(The mover was absent)

MR. SPEAKER.—Sri S. M. Krishna to move the second motion.

SRI S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).—Sir, I beg to move :

“That Sri R. Peeranna be elected as Deputy Speaker of the Legislative Assembly.”

MR. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That Sri R. Peeranna be elected as Deputy Speaker of the Legislative Assembly.”

SRI B. HUTCHE GOWDA (Turuvekere).—I second the motion.

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That Sri R. Peeranna be elected as Deputy Speaker of the Legislative Assembly.”

The motion was negatived.

SRI T. MADIAH GOWDA (Ramana-garam).—Can I move the first motion, Sir.

MR. SPEAKER.—No. You cannot move it ;

Chair's ruling regarding the word

“ Shameless ”

MR. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Members will remember that the other day I said that I would give a ruling

with regard to a particular word “shameless” as to whether it is parliamentary or not. Now, I give my ruling.

The other day an Hon'ble Member raised a point of order and wanted to know whether the use of the word “shameless” in debate was parliamentary or unparliamentary. I said, that I would give a considered ruling later. While there are certain words which are *ex-facie* unparliamentary and ought not to be used on the floor of the House, there are certain other words which cover what I may call the border line. Many words of this nature have been held unparliamentary in several Legislatures but permitted to go unnoticed in others. In most cases it depends on the circumstance and on the context in which a word is used. So far as the word “shameless” is concerned, while I am not prepared to hold that it is *ex-facie* unparliamentary, I certainly feel that it is a word that is best left un-uttered on the floor of the House. In order to maintain the dignity and decorum of our proceedings, it is better, the Hon'ble Members refrain from using such words of personal attack against other Hon'ble Members. I am sure, it will be possible to have the same effect or perhaps be more effective by using words which carry greater dignity.

This is my ruling.

Members' Representations

SRI S. SHIVAPPA (Sravanabelgola).—Sir, I want to bring the following

(SRI S. SHIVAPPA)

matter to your notice. The other day when I tried to get information from the Hon'ble Leader of the House about his going to Delhi, he was pleased to tell that he was going to Delhi on official business. But the Hon'ble Speaker was pleased to say that he had no official information about his visit to Delhi. To-day, I want to know whether the Hon'ble Chief Minister and the other Hon'ble Minister, who are absent when the Legislature is in session, have taken your permission, or whether they have informed you about their visit to Delhi; when the Finance Bill is about to be moved in the House, the Hon'ble Finance Minister and the other Minister are out. I want to know whether the Speaker has come to know of their journey to Delhi.

Mr. SPEAKER.—A point has been raised by the Hon'ble Leader of the Opposition. In that connection I must inform the House that I have received a communication from the Chief Minister and the Leader of the House that he is leaving for Delhi to-day by plane. It is dated the 24th.

"I propose to return to Headquarters on the 26th."

If the Hon'ble Members are unaware of the same, I wish to tell them that he has addressed me communication and taken my leave.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—Sir, may I know what happens to this motion which was placed in the Order Paper about the election of the Deputy Speaker, namely, the motion to be moved by Sri K. S. Suryanarayana Rao and to be seconded by Sri B. D. Jatti.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—The Government do not propose to have a Deputy Speaker. Is it so, Sir?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Only one at a time please.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Whether it goes or it survives—I want to know.....

Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Home).—When the other motion is lost, the main motion survives provided it is moved.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—It is not moved.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Therefore, it survives till some other day.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—On a point of procedure Sir. Of the two motions for the election of Deputy Speaker, one motion was moved and it failed to get a majority.....

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—When the mover of the motion is absent, it is understood that he is not willing to move it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—A number of Hon'ble Members have raised an interesting point. They would not have raised it, if they had remembered all the rules. If a motion is set down for the day and it is not moved, it lapses. That is the effect. The members are fully aware of it and it is not for me to explain. The other motion was moved and it was negatived. That is the position.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕನ್ನಪ್ಪ.—ಅಧಿಕಾರದಲ್ಲರತಕ್ಕ ಪಕ್ಷದವರು ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ಬಾರಿಯೇ "ಪ್ರಥಮ ಚುಂಬನಂ ದಂತ ಭಗ್ನಮ್" ಎಂದುದಾಗಿ ಎದ್ದು ಬಿದ್ದರು. ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರನ್ನು ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಮೂವರೂ ಇಲ್ಲ, ಸೆಕೆಂಡರೂ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ "ಅನಾರ್ಥೋ ದೈವರಕ್ಷಿತಃ" ಎನ್ನುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಆಗಿದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What is it that the Hon'ble Member is speaking about? Is it a piece of information? Is he delivering a speech? Is it on a point of order? If it is on a point of order, he must say so. He must not take any length of time. We shall go to the next subject, namely the introduction of the Mysore Appropriation Bill.

Business of the House

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Here, I wish to raise a point of order. In this connection, the Leader of the Opposition raised a very valuable objection that the Minister concerned was is not here. The Hon'ble Chief Minister, who is also the Finance Minister, is not here. Therefore, the business of the House is at a standstill. That is what I want to know. How can a Minister who is holding the Finance portfolio be absent? I agree there is